## United States Patent and Trademark Office

w

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,403	. 10/03/2003	Paul J. Hindrichs	293 / 053	1004
1473 7590 01/09/2007 FISH & NEAVE IP GROUP ROPES & GRAY LLP			EXAMINER	
			NEAL, TIMOTHY J	
1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS FL C3 NEW YORK, NY 10020-1105		. C3	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			Yangara	·
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		01/09/2007	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
·	10/678,403	HINDRICHS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Timothy J. Neal	3731
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some converse and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lower in the community of	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	3 October 2003	*
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	•	•
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	· ·	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	·
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		hy the Evaminer
Applicant may not request that any objection to	•	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		The state of the s
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	<del>-</del>	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu	, , , ,	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.
		,
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>		s)/Mail Date  nformal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-16, drawn to a method of producing an anastomotic connection between graft tissue and a sidewall, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
- II. Claims 17-54, drawn to a connector, classified in class 606, subclass 153.
- III. Claim 55, drawn to an apparatus for forming a connection, classified in class 606, subclass 151.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the connectors of group II and apparatus of group III do not have to be used with graft tissue and a sidewall. These products can be used to form connection between any type of tube, pipe, or hose and are not limited to graft tissue.

Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the

Application/Control Number: 10/678,403

Art Unit: 3731

particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the details referring to the plurality of members have been omitted in the combination. The subcombination has separate utility such as maintaining an opening in a vessel. The Examiner considers Group III to be an apparatus using two connectors, while Group II is directed at only the single connector. The single connector can be used in maintaining an opening in a vessel without necessarily forming a connection between two vessels or a graft.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. The method claims will not necessarily be found with the apparatus and the use of two connectors in such a broad manner as suggested by Group III will not necessarily be found with the single connectors of Group II.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Group I Species A and Species B; Group II Species C and Species D. Species A and C are directed at connectors without members on the medial portion, while species B and D have members on the medial portion. Claims 1-10, 17-36, and 54 seem to be drawn to species A and C. Claims 11-16 and 37-53 seem to be drawn to species B and D. The species are independent or distinct because they do not overlap in scope, are not obvious variants, and are of a different design. Species A and C do not have a plurality of members on a medial portion as required in species B and D. The above claims are only the Examiner's preliminary understanding of the claims, and the Applicant is required to select the claims that read on the species described. Applicant is reminded that election of Group I will also require an election of Species C or D.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations

Application/Control Number: 10/678,403

Art Unit: 3731

of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

No telephone call was made due to the complicated nature of the election/restriction requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species **AND** invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy J. Neal whose telephone number is (571) 272-0625. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TJN

ANHTUANT. NGUYEN BUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER